

Faculty of
Engineering

Stability assessment of an abandoned underground chalk quarry

Temenuga Georgieva, Fanny Descamps, Nicolas Gonze,
Jean-Pierre Tshibangu

COGGUS²

12-14 February 2019, NANCY


 **POLYTECH
MONS**

Interreg 
France-Wallonie-Vlaanderen
UNION EUROPÉENNE
EUROPESE UNIE

RISSC


Avec le soutien du Fonds européen de
développement régional

Big collapse in 2015



Aerial photograph of the collapse of April 22, 2015 (CACEff, 2015).

Malogne underground quarry – impact on the surface



Methodology

Geometrical and geological 3D model

- ❖ Geological data
- ❖ 3D Model

Rock mass characterisation

- ❖ In situ
- ❖ Laboratory analyses

Geomechanical classification systems


Rock mass mechanical properties

Empirical approach

Numerical modeling



Behaviour of the underground openings and understanding of failure mechanisms

Collected data for the Malogne quarry



Total area: 67 ha


Numerical modeling – Target area



Data interpretation


Footwall interpolated

- ❖ **6957** points with X, Y, Z were proceeded





Hangingwall interpolated

- ❖ **3724** measurements for the rooms heights were proceeded




Numerical modeling – Geometry




- ❖ Pillar width = 3.50 m
- ❖ Pillar w/h ratio = 0.78
- ❖ Room width = 4.00 m
- ❖ Room height = 4.50 m
- ❖ Dip = 3°

Rock mass properties preliminary estimation

Property	Unit Weight	Tensile Strength	Friction Angle	Cohesion	Young's Modulus	Poisson's ratio
	MN/m ³	MPa	deg.	MPa	MPa	-
Limon	0.015	0	30	0	20	0.33
Tuffeau de Ciply	0.015	0.01	31.9	0.16	243	0.33
Hardground	0.019	0.065	35.37	0.39	1429	0.14
Phosphate Chalk	0.017	0.03	32.5	0.31	474	0.34
Spiennes Chalk	0.017	0.32	58	0.45	912	0.34



Numerical modeling – preliminary results




Perfect plastic modeling of pillars (vertical section) with data about the vertical stress and yielded elements

Data set – parametric study

	Property	Unit Weight	Tensile Strength	Friction Angle	Cohesion
		MN/m3	MPa	deg.	MPa
Set data 1 (laboratory)	Limon	0.031	0	30	0
	Tuffeau de Ciply	0.032	0.01	31.9	0.16
	Hardground	0.04	0.065	35.37	0.39
	Phosphate Chalk	0.017	0.03	32.5	0.31
	Spiennes Chalk	0.017	0.32	58	0.45
Set data 2	Limon	0.031	0	30	0
	Tuffeau de Ciply	0.032	0.01	31.9	0.16
	Hardground	0.04	1.8	40	2.0
	Phosphate Chalk	0.017	0.6	35	1.5
	Spiennes Chalk	0.017	0.32	58	0.45
Set data 3	Limon	0.031	0	30	0
	Tuffeau de Ciply	0.032	0.1	33	0.3
	Hardground	0.04	3.00	40	2.0
	Phosphate Chalk	0.017	1	35	1.9
	Spiennes Chalk	0.017	0.32	58	0.45

Numerical modeling – parametric study



Perfect plastic modeling of pillars (vertical section)
with data about the maximum principal stress

Poor rock mass:

- ❖ Laboratory data for the rock mass properties
- ❖ Yielded rock mass

Fair rock mass:


- ❖ Slightly increased rock mass properties
- ❖ Several yielded elements

Good rock mass:

- ❖ Increased rock mass properties
- ❖ Sporadic yielded elements



SF evaluation vs. In situ observation



Numerical modeling of pillar (vertical section) with data about the Strength Factor




Photo of slender pillar from Malogne underground quarry



Future tasks

Understanding of failure mechanisms



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

